


explained in the present application (Page 1, line 24). Accordingly, the position taken by the Examiner with regard to Claim 2 is untenable.

Therefore, based upon the foregoing argument, Applicants believe that Claim 2 is distinguishable over the prior art and should be allowable. Claims 3-6 are all dependent or made dependent upon Claim 2. Consequently, Applicants believe that Claims 3-6 are also in condition for allowance and an early notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Finally Applicants have enclosed a request for a two-month extension of time __ together with the requisite filing fee of \$450.00 for this two-month extension of time, extending the time through and including November 21, 2006 within which to file the foregoing response.

If any questions remain which may be resolved in a telephone interview, Applicants' undersigned Attorney would gladly discuss such issues with the Examiner at the Examiner's convenience. For this purpose, Applicants' undersigned Attorney has provided his telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Melvin I. Stoltz', is written over the typed name and registration information.

Melvin I. Stoltz
Registration No. 25,934
Attorney for Applicants